Bibliography

[1] Anscombe, F.J. and R.J. Aumann (1963), A definition of subjective probability, *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **34,** 199–205.

[2] Apt, K.R. (2004), Uniform proofs of order independence for various strategy elimination procedures, *Contributions to Theoretical Economics* **4,** Article 5, 48 pages.

[3] Apt, K.R. (2011), Direct proofs for order independence, *Economics Bulletin* **31,** 106–115.

[4] Armbruster, W. and W. Böge, (1979), Bayesian game theory, in O. Moeschlin and D. Pallaschke (eds.), *Game Theory and Related Topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

[5] Asheim, G.B. (2006), *The consistent preferences approach to deductive reasoning in games,* Theory and Decision Library, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

[6] Aumann, R.J. (1974), Subjectivity and correlation in randomized strategies, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* **1**, 67–96.

[7] Aumann, R.J. (1976), Agreeing to disagree, *Annals of Statistics* **4**, 1236–1239.

[8] Aumann, R.J. (1987), Correlated equilibrium as an expression of Bayesian rationality, *Econometrica* **55**, 1–18.

[9] Aumann, R. and A. Brandenburger (1995), Epistemic conditions for Nash equilibrium, *Econometrica* **63,** 1161–1180.

[10] Aumann, R. and J. Drèze (2008), Rational expectations in games, *American Economic Review* **98,** 72–86.

[11] Bach, C.W. and J. Cabessa (2017), Limit-agreeing to disagree, *Journal of Logic and Computation* **27,** 1169–1187.

[12] Bach. C.W. and A. Perea (2013), Agreeing to disagree with lexicographic prior beliefs, *Mathematical Social Sciences* **66,** 129–133.

[13] Bach. C.W. and A. Perea (2017), Incomplete information and equilibrium, *EPICENTER Working Paper No. 9.*

[14] Bach, C.W. and A. Perea (2020a), Two definitions of correlation equilibrium, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* **90,** 12–24.

[15] Bach, C.W. and A. Perea (2020b), Generalized Nash equilibrium without common belief in rationality, *Economics Letters* **186,** 1–6.

[16] Bach, C.W. and A. Perea (2021), Incomplete information and iterated strict dominance, *Oxford Economic Papers* **73,** 820–836.

[17] Bach. C.W. and A. Perea (2023a), Structure preserving transformations of epistemic models, *Economic Inquiry,* DOI: 10.1111/ecin.13136

[18] Bach, C.W. and A. Perea (2023b), Bayesian equilibrium and generalized Nash equilibrium, Manuscript.

[19] Bach, C.W. and E. Tsakas (2014), Pairwise epistemic conditions for Nash equilibrium, *Games and Economic Behavior* **85,** 48–59.

[20] Bacharach, M. (1985), Some extensions of a claim of Aumann in an axiomatic model of knowledge, *Journal of Economic Theory* **37,** 167–190.

[21] Barelli, P. (2009), Consistency of beliefs and epistemic conditions for Nash and correlated equilibrium, *Games and Economic Behavior* **67,** 363–375.

[22] Battigalli, P. (1997), On rationalizability in extensive games, *Journal of Economic Theory* **74,** 40–61.

[23] Battigalli, P. (2003), Rationalizability in infinite, dynamic games of incomplete information. *Research in Economics* **57**, 1–38.

[24] Battigalli, P. and G. Bonanno (1999), Recent results on belief, knowledge and the epistemic foundations of game theory, *Research in Economics* **53**, 149–225.

[25] Battigalli, P., Corrao, R. and M. Dufwenberg (2019), Incorporating belief-dependent motivation in games, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* **167,** 185–218.

[26] Battigalli, P., Corrao, R. and F. Sanna (2020), Epistemic game theory without types structures: An application to psychological games, *Games and Economic Behavior* **120,** 28–57.

[27] Battigalli, P., Di Tillio, A., Grillo, E., and A. Penta (2011), Interactive epistemology and solution concepts for games with asymmetric information, *B. E. Journal of Theoretical Economics* **11**, doi.org/10.2202/1935-1704.1637.

[28] Battigalli, P. and M. Dufwenberg (2009), Dynamic psychological games, *Journal of Economic Theory* **144,** 1–35.

[29] Battigalli, P., Friedenberg, A. and M. Siniscalchi (2023), *Epistemic game theory: Reasoning about strategic uncertainty,* In progress.

[30] Battigalli, P. and A. Prestipino (2013), Transparent restrictions on beliefs and forward-induction reasoning in games with asymmetric information, *B. E. Journal of Theoretical Economics* **13**, 79–130.

[31] Battigalli, P. and M. Siniscalchi (1999), Hierarchies of conditional beliefs and interactive epistemology in dynamic games, *Journal of Economic Theory* **88**, 188–230.

[32] Battigalli, P. and M. Siniscalchi (2002), Strong belief and forward induction reasoning, *Journal of Economic Theory* **106**, 356–391.

[33] Battigalli, P. and M. Siniscalchi (2003a), Rationalization and incomplete information, *B. E. Journal of Theoretical Economics* **3**, doi.org/10.2202/1534-5963.1073.

[34] Battigalli, P. and M. Siniscalchi (2003b), Rationalizable bidding in first-price auctions, *Games and Economic Behavior* **45,** 38–72.

[35] Battigalli, P. and M. Siniscalchi (2007), Interactive epistemology in games with payoff uncertainty, *Research in Economics* **61**, 165–184.

[36] Bergemann, D. and S. Morris (2003), Robust implementation: The role of large type spaces, Mimeo.

[37] Bergemann, D. and S. Morris (2007), Belief free incomplete information games, *Cowles Foundation Discussion Papers* 1927.

[38] Bernheim, B.D. (1984), Rationalizable strategic behavior, *Econometrica* **52,** 1007–1028.

[39] Bjorndahl, A., Halpern, J.Y. and R. Pass (2013), Language-based games. In: *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge,* 39–48. Extended working paper available at: www.cs.cornell.edu/~rafael/papers/lbg.pdf

[40] Board, O. (2002), Knowledge, beliefs and game-theoretic solution concepts, *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* **18,** 433–445.

[41] Böge, W. and T.H. Eisele (1979), On solutions of bayesian games, *International Journal of Game Theory* **8**, 193–215.

[42] Bonanno, G. (2015), Epistemic foundations of game theory, in H. van Ditmarsch, J.Y. Halpern, W. van der Hoek and B. Kooi (eds), *Handbook of Logics for Knowledge and Belief*, College Publications, pp. 411–450.

[43] Bonanno, G. and K. Nehring (1999), How to make sense of the common prior assumption under incomplete information, *International Journal of Game Theory* **28,** 409–434.

[44] Border, K.C. (1985), *Fixed Point Theorems with Applications to Economics and Game Theory,* Cambridge University Press.

[45] Borel, É. (1921), La théorie du jeu et les equations intégrales à noyau symétrique, *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris)* **173**, 1304–1308. (Translated by Leonard J. Savage as 'The theory of play and integral equations with skew symmetric kernels', *Econometrica* **21** (1953), 97–100).

[46] Borel, É. (1924), *Eléments de la Théorie des Probabilités,* 3rd edn., Hermann, Paris. (Pages 204–221 translated by Leonard J. Savage as 'On games that involve chance and the skill of players', *Econometrica* **21** (1953), 101–115).

[47] Borel, É. (1927), Sur les systèmes de formes linéaires à déterminant symétrique gauche et la théorie générale du jeu, *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris)* **184**, 52–54. (Translated by Leonard J. Savage as 'On systems of linear forms of skew symmetric determinant and the general theory of play', *Econometrica* **21** (1953), 116–117).

[48] Brandenburger, A. (1992), Knowledge and equilibrium in games, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **6**, 83–101.

[49] Brandenburger, A. (2007), The power of paradox: some recent developments in interactive epistemology, *International Journal of Game Theory* **35**, 465–492.

[50] Brandenburger, A. (2010), Origins of epistemic game theory, in *Epistemic Logic: 5 Questions*, V. F. Hendricks and O. Roy, eds., Automatic Press, VIP.

[51] Brandenburger, A. (2014), *The Language of Game Theory: Putting Epistemics into the Mathematics of Games,* World Scientific Series in Economic Theory, Volume 5.

[52] Brandenburger, A. and E. Dekel (1987), Rationalizability and correlated equilibria, *Econometrica* **55,** 1391–1402.

[53] Brandenburger, A. and E. Dekel (1989), The role of common knowledge assumptions in game theory, in *The Economics of Missing Markets, Information and Games,* ed. by Frank Hahn. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 46–61.

[54] Brandenburger, A. and E. Dekel (1993), Hierarchies of beliefs and common knowledge, *Journal of Economic Theory* **59**, 189–198.

[55] Brandenburger, A. and A. Friedenberg (2008), Intrinsic correlation in games, *Journal of Economic Theory* **141**, 28–67.

[56] Cappelletti, G. (2010), A note on rationalizability and restrictions on beliefs, *B. E. Journal of Theoretical Economics* **10**, 1–11.

[57] Chen, J. and S. Micali (2013), The order independence of iterated dominance in extensive games, *Theoretical Economics* **8,** 125–163.

[58] Čopič, J. and A. Galeotti (2006), Awareness as an equilibrium notion: Normal-form games, Working paper.

[59] Dekel, E., Fudenberg, D. and S. Morris (2007), Interim correlated rationalizability, *Theoretical Economics* **2**, 15–40.

[60] Dekel, E. and F. Gul (1997), Rationality and knowledge in game theory, in D.M. Kreps and K.F. Wallis (eds.), *Advances in Economics and Econometrics: Theory and Applications* (Seventh World Congress, Vol. 1**,** 1997), pp. 87–172.

[61] Dekel, E., Lipman, B.L. and A. Rustichini (1998), Standard state-space models preclude unawareness, *Econometrica* **66,** 159–173.

[62] Dekel, E. and M. Siniscalchi (2015), Epistemic game theory, in P. Young and S. Zamir (eds.), *Handbook of Game Theory,* Volume 4, North-Holland.

[63] Dekel, E. and A. Wolinsky (2003), Rationalizable outcomes of large private-value first-price discrete auctions, *Games and Economic Behavior* **43,** 175–188.

[64] Ely, J.C. and M. Peşki (2006), Hierarchies of belief and interim rationalizability, *Theoretical Economics* **1**, 19–65.

[65] Epstein, L.G. and T. Wang, "Beliefs about beliefs" without probabilities, *Econometrica* **64**, 1343–1373.

[66] Fagin, R. and J.Y. Halpern (1988), Belief, awareness and limited reasoning, *Artificial Intelligence* **34,** 39–72.

[67] Feinberg. Y. (2000), Characterizing common priors in the form of posteriors, *Journal of Economic Theory* **91,** 127–179.

[68] Feinberg, Y. (2004), Subjective reasoning – games with unawareness, Stanford Graduate School of Business, Research Paper No. 1875.

[69] Feinberg, Y. (2021), Games with unawareness, *The B.E. Journal of Theoretical Economics* **21,** 433–488.

[70] Forges, F. (1986), An approach to communication equilibria, *Econometrica* **54,** 159–182.

[71] Forges, F. (1993), Five legitimate definitions of correlated equilibrium in games with incomplete information, *Theory and Decision* **35,** 277–310.

[72] Friedell, M.F. (1967), On the structure of shared awareness, Working paper, University of Michigan.

[73] Friedell, M.F. (1969), On the structure of shared awareness, *Behavioral Science* **14**, 28–39.

[74] Geanakoplos, J. (1992), Common knowledge, *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **6**, 52–83.

[75] Geanakoplos, J. (1996), The hangman's paradox and Newcomb's paradox as psychological games, *Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper No. 1128.*

[76] Geanakoplos, J., Pearce, D. and E. Stacchetti (1989), Psychological games and sequential rationality, *Games and Economic Behavior* **1,** 60–79.

[77] Geanakoplos, J. and H. Polemarchakis (1982), We can't disagree forever, *Journal of Economic Theory* **28,** 192–200.

[78] Gilboa, I. and D. Schmeidler (2003), A derivation of expected utility maximization in the context of a game, *Games and Economic Behavior* **44,** 184–194.

[79] Gilboa, I., Kalai, E. and E. Zemel (1990), On the order of eliminating dominated strategies, *Operations Research Letters* **9,** 85–89.

[80] Grant, S. and J. Quiggin (2013), Inductive reasoning about unawareness, *Economic Theory* **54,** 717–755.

[81] Guarino, P. (2020), An epistemic analysis of dynamic games with unawareness, *Games and Economic Behavior* **120,** 257–288.

[82] Gul. F. (1998), A comment on Aumann's bayesian view, *Econometrica* **66,** 923–927.

[83] Halpern, J.Y. (2001), Alternative semantics for unawareness, *Games and Economic Behavior* **37,** 321–339.

[84] Halpern, J.Y. and L.C. Rêgo (2008), Interactive unawareness revisited, *Games and Economic Behavior* **62,** 232–262.

[85] Halpern, J.Y. and L.C. Rêgo (2014), Extensive games with possibly unaware players, *Mathematical Social Sciences* **70,** 42–58.

[86] Harsanyi, J.C. (1962), Bargaining in ignorance of the opponent's utility function, *Journal of Conflict Resolution* **6**, 29–38.

[87] Harsanyi, J.C. (1967–1968), Games with incomplete information played by "bayesian" players, I–III', *Management Science* **14**, 159–182, 320–334, 486–502.

[88] Heifetz, A. (1993), The Bayesian formulation of incomplete information - The non-compact case, *International Journal of Game Theory* **21**, 329–338.

[89] Heifetz, A., Meier, M. and B.C. Schipper (2006), Interactive unawareness, *Journal of Economic Theory* **130,** 78–94.

[90] Heifetz, A., Meier, M. and B.C. Schipper (2008), A canonical model for interactive unawareness, *Games and Economic Behavior* **62,** 304–324.

[91] Heifetz, A., Meier, M. and B.C. Schipper (2013a), Unawareness, beliefs, and speculative trade, *Games and Economic Behavior* **77,** 100–121.

[92] Heifetz, A., Meier, M. and B.C. Schipper (2013b), Dynamic unawareness and rationalizable behavior, *Games and Economic Behavior* **81,** 50–68.

[93] Heifetz, A. and D. Samet (1998), Topology-free typology of beliefs, *Journal of Economic Theory* **82**, 324–341.

[94] Hellman, Z. (2013), Almost common priors, *International Journal of Game Theory* **42,** 399–410.

[95] Jagau, S. (2022), Additive context-dependent preferences, Manuscript.

[96] Jagau, S. and A. Perea (2022), Common belief in rationality in psychological games: Belief-dependent utility and the limits of strategic reasoning, *Journal of Mathematical Economics* **100,** doi.org/10.1016/j.jmateco.2022.102635.

[97] Jagau, S. and A. Perea (2023), Linear psychological games. Manuscript.

[98] Kakutani, S. (1941), A generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **8,** 457–459.

[99] Kreps, D.M. and R. Wilson (1982), Sequential equilibria, *Econometrica* **50**, 863–894.

[100] Kripke, S. (1963), A semantical analysis of modal logic I: Normal modal propositional calculi, *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik* **9**, 67–96.

[101] Leonard, R. (2010, *Von Neumann, Morgenstern, and the Creation of Game Theory,* Cambridge University Press.

[102] Lewis, D.K. (1969), *Convention*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

[103] Li, J. (2009), Information structures with unawareness, *Journal of Economic Theory* **144,** 977–993.

[104] Luo, X., Qian, X. and C. Qu (2020), Iterated elimination procedures, *Economic Theory* **70,** 437–465.

[105] Manili, J. (2023), Order independence for rationalizability, Manuscript.

[106] Meier, M. and B.C. Schipper (2014), Bayesian games with unawareness and unawareness perfection, *Economic Theory* **56,** 219–249.

[107] Mertens, J.-F. and S. Zamir (1985), Formulation of bayesian analysis for games with incomplete information, *International Journal of Game Theory* **14**, 1–29.

[108] Milgrom, P. and N. Stokey (1982), Information, trade and common knowledge, *Journal of Economic Theory* **26,** 17–27.

[109] Modica, S. and A. Rustichini (1999), Unawareness and partitional information structures, *Games and Economic Behavior* **27,** 265–298.

[110] Monderer, D. and D. Samet (1989), Approximating common knowledge with common beliefs, *Games and Economic Behavior* **1,** 170–190.

[111] Morgenstern, O. (1935), Vollkommene Voraussicht und wirtschaftliches Gleichgewicht, *Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie* **6**, 337–357. (Reprinted as 'Perfect foresight and economic equilibrium' in A. Schotter (ed.), *Selected Economic Writings of Oskar Morgenstern,* New York University Press, 1976, pp. 169–183).

[112] Morris, S. (1994), Trade with heterogeneous prior beliefs and asymmetric information, *Econometrica* **62,** 1327–1347.

[113] Morris, S. (1995), The common prior assumption in economic theory, *Economics and Philosophy* **11,** 227–253.

[114] Mourmans, N. (2017), Reasoning about the surprise exam paradox: An application of psychological game theory, *EPICENTER Working Paper No. 12.*

[115] Mourmans, N. (2019), Reasoning in psychological games: When is iterated elimination of choices enough?, *EPICENTER Working Paper No. 20.*

[116] Myerson, R.B. (1978), Refinements of the Nash equilibrium concept, *International Journal of Game Theory* **7,** 73–80.

[117] Myerson, R.B. (1986), Multistage games with communication, *Econometrica* **54,** 323–358.

[118] Nash, J.F. (1950), Equilibrium points in N-person games, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **36,** 48–49.

[119] Nash, J.F. (1951), Non-cooperative games, *Annals of Mathematics* **54**, 286–295.

[120] Pacuit, E. and O. Roy (2017), Epistemic foundations of game theory, in N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Summer 2017 Edition), URL = <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2017/entries/epistemic-game/>

[121] Pearce, D.G. (1984), Rationalizable strategic behavior and the problem of perfection, *Econometrica* **52,** 1029–1050.

[122] Perea, A. (2007), A one-person doxastic characterization of Nash strategies, *Synthese* **158**, 251–271 (*Knowledge, Rationality and Action* 341–361).

[123] Perea, A. (2012), *Epistemic Game Theory: Reasoning and Choice,* Cambridge University Press.

[124] Perea, A. (2014), From classical to epistemic game theory, *International Game Theory Review* **16,** No.1, 1440001.

[125] Perea, A. (2017), Order independence in dynamic games, *Epicenter Working Paper No. 8.*

[126] Perea, A. (2018), Why forward induction leads to the backward induction outcome: A new proof for Battigalli's theorem, *Games and Economic Behavior* **110,** 120–138.

[127] Perea, A. (2020), A foundation for expected utility in decision problems and games, *EPICENTER Working Paper No. 22.*

[128] Perea, A. (2021), Epistemic game theory, in M. Knauff and W. Spohn (eds.), *Handbook of Rationality,* MIT Press.

[129] Perea, A. (2022), Common belief in rationality in games with unawareness, *Mathematical Social Sciences* **119,** 11–30.

[130] Perea, A. (2023), Expected utility as an expression of linear preference intensity, Manuscript.

[131] Polak, B. (1999), Epistemic conditions for Nash equilibrium, and common knowledge of rationality, *Econometrica* **67,** 673–676.

[132] Rêgo, L.C. and J.Y. Halpern (2012), Generalized solution concepts in games with possibly unaware players, *International Journal of Game Theory* **41,** 131–155.

[133] Robles, J. and M. Shimoji (2012), On rationalizability and beliefs in discrete private-value first-price auctions, *The B.E. Journal of Theoretical Economics,* https://doi.org/10.1515/1935-1704.1829

[134] Samet. D. (1990), Ignoring ignorance and agreeing to disagree, *Journal of Economic Theory* **52,** 190–207.

[135] Samet, D. (1998a), Common prior and separation of convex sets, *Games and Economic Behavior* **24,** 172–174.

[136] Samet, D. (1998b), Iterated expectations and common priors, *Games and Economic Behavior* **24,** 131–141.

[137] Savage, L.J. (1954), *The Foundation of Statistics,* Wiley, New York.

[138] Schipper, B.C. (2014), Unawareness – a gentle introduction to both the literature and the special issue, *Mathematical Social Sciences* **70,** 1–9.

[139] Schipper, B.C. (2021), Discovery and equilibrium in games with unawareness, *Journal of Economic Theory* **198,** 105365.

[140] Selten, R. (1965), Spieltheoretische Behandlung eines Oligopolmodells mit Nachfrageträgheit, *Zeitschrift für die Gesammte Staatswissenschaft* **121,** 301–324, 667–689.

[141] Selten, R. (1975), Reexamination of the perfectness concept for equilibrium points in extensive games, *International Journal of Game Theory* **4,** 25–55.

[142] Shimoji, M. (2017), Revenue comparison of discrete private-value auctions via weak dominance, *Review of Economic Design* **21,** 231–252.

[143] Spohn, W. (1982), How to make sense of game theory, in W. Stegmüller, W. Balzer and W. Spohn (eds.), *Philosophy of Economics,* Springer Verlag, pp. 239–270.

[144] Tan, T. and S.R.C. Werlang (1988), The bayesian foundations of solution concepts of games, *Journal of Economic Theory* **45,** 370–391.

[145] Tan, T. and S.R.C. Werlang (1992), On Aumann's notion of common knowledge: An alternative approach, *Revista Brasileira de Economia* **64**, 151–166.

[146] von Neumann, J. (1928), Zur Theorie der Gesellschaftsspiele, *Mathematische Annalen* **100**, 295–320. (Translated by Sonya Bargmann as "On the theory of games of strategy" in A.W. Tucker and R.D. Luce (eds.) (1959), *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, Volume IV, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, pp. 13–43 (*Annals of Mathematics Studies* **40**)).

[147] von Neumann, J. and O. Morgenstern (1944, 1947), *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

[148] Zermelo, E. (1913), Über eine Anwendung der Mengenlehre auf die Theorie des Schachspiels, *Proceedings Fifth International Congress of Mathematicians* **2,** 501–504.